

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/578,102	01/31/2007	Jintae Choi	126587-0025	2246	
22429 LOWE HALIP	7590 08/13/200 TMAN HAM & BERN		EXAM	INER	
1700 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 300 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			BATISTA	BATISTA, MARCOS	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	.,		2617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/13/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/578,102	CHOI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MARCOS BATISTA	2617	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

IHE	REPLY FILED <u>22 July 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. 🛛	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this
	application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the
	application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
	for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time
	periods:

a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above; if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

INC	<u> </u>	UE	OF	AFI	- = /	<u>1L</u>
	$\overline{}$			44		

The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____ A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMEN	

3.	The	proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
		They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
	(b)	They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
	(c)	They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
		appeal; and/or
	(d) [They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet:
 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the

non-allowable claim(s).
7.
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of

how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: 1,4-15 and 42-46

Claim(s) objected to:

Claim(s) rejected: 16.19-25,27-36 and 38-41.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8.	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered	
	because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary a	nd
	was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	

9. If he affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. Me request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant did not present any direct argument regarding rejected claims 16, 19-25, 27-36 and 38-41. Therefore, the rejection stands according to the office action mailed on 04/28/2009.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. ☐ Other:

/Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The 103 rejection of Hsu et al. (US 20030054807 A1) in view of Trossen et al. (US 20030157899 A1) to claims 1, 4-15 and 42-46.